

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/495,393		01/31/2000	Albert Kwang-Hwa Sun	P/2167-158	4790
21967	7590	04/10/2006		EXAMINER	
HUNTON	& WILL	IAMS LLP	WEISBERGER, RICHARD C		
INTELLEC	ΓUAL PR	OPERTY DEPAR	TMENT		D. 1000 100 1000
1900 K STR	EET, N.\	V.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SUITE 1200			3624		
WASHING	CON, DO	20006-1109			

DATE MAILED: 04/10/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

·								
		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	09/495,393	SUN					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
· · · · · ·		Richard C Weisberger	3624					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 2 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 06 F	February 2006 .						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims							
•	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> is/are pending in the application							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	wn from consideration.						
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
-	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
, —	Claim(s) <u>1-41</u> are subject to restriction and/or of	election requirement.						
	on Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acception to the any objection to the							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _ is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).								
a) All b) Some * c) None of:								
۵,	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.						
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		ion No.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	• •						
* 8	application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•					
14) 🗌 A	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).					
) The translation of the foreign language pro							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)								
1)	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)					

DETAILED ACTION

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (1) A method of processing trade documents including maintaining a status of a trade operation on the database (see claim 8); (2) A method of processing trade documents including maintaining an application for a letter of credit and receiving multiple purchase orders (see claim 16); (3) A method of processing trade documents including generating a sales order in response to the initiation document (see claim 17). The species are distinct because as combinations separately useable together. The species are distinct. As a non-limiting example, species 1 can be used as a method of security.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Art Unit: 3624

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

2. If group 3 is elected, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (1) A method of processing trade documents including automatically generating a sales order using the requirement for information; (2) A method of processing trade documents comparing the sales order to the requirement information contained in the database. The species are distinct as they are combinations separately usable. As an example, species (2) can be used as an accounting tool.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 17 generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Art Unit: 3624

3. If group (2) of paragraph 2 is made, this application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: (1) A method of processing trade documents comprising electronically notifying parties, (2) A method of processing trade documents comprising the step of presenting the trade documents to the buyer for negotiation, (3) A method of processing trade documents comprising presenting the trae documents to a bank. The species are distinct because they are combinations separately useable. For example, species (3) can be used for as a method for banking.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 30 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. This Office action has an attached requirement for information under 37 CFR1.105. A complete reply to this Office action must include a complete reply to the

Art Unit: 3624

attached requirement for information. The time period for reply to the attached requirement coincides with the time period for reply to this Office action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard C Weisberger whose telephone number is 571 272 6753. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Vince Millin can be reached on 571 272 6747. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 4408.

Richard C Weisberger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3624

Art Unit: 3624

5. Applicant and the assignee of this application are required under 37 CFR 1.105 to provide the following information that the examiner has determined is reasonably necessary to the examination of this application.

- 6. In response to this requirement, please state the specific improvements of the subject matter in claims 1-41 over the disclosed prior art cited in the IDS filed 2/03/06. and indicate the specific elements in the claimed subject matter that provide those improvements. The examiner is grateful for the thorough effort the applicant has made in investigating the prior art and for carefully selecting only that prior art particularly relevant to the claimed invention. As the applicant is thoroughly familiar with the prior art, the applicant is requested to separately address each of the 313 documents cited.
- 7. The applicant is reminded that the reply to this requirement must be made with candor and good faith under 37 CFR 1.56. Where the applicant does not have or cannot readily obtain an item of required information, a statement that the item is unknown or cannot be readily obtained may be accepted as a complete reply to the requirement for that item.
- 8. This requirement is an attachment of the enclosed Office action. A complete reply to the enclosed Office action must include a complete reply to this requirement.

 The time period for reply to this requirement coincides with the time period for reply to the enclosed Office action.

Art Unit: 3624

Richard Weisberger

Primary Examiner

Vince Millin

SPE